

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended January 31, 2012

Commission file number 0-11254

COPYTELE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

11-2622630
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

900 Walt Whitman Road
Melville, NY
(Address of principal executive offices)

11747
(Zip Code)

(631) 549-5900
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

On March 12, 2012, the registrant had outstanding 180,455,137 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, which is the registrant's only class of common stock.

[Table of Contents](#)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements.	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of January 31, 2012 (Unaudited) and October 31, 2011	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the three months ended January 31, 2012 and 2011	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the three months ended January 31, 2012	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the three months ended January 31, 2012 and 2011	6
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	7 – 23
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	24 - 35
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	35 - 36
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures.	36

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.	Legal Proceedings.	37
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	37
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	37
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities.	37
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures.	37
Item 5.	Other Information.	37
Item 6.	Exhibits.	37
	SIGNATURES	38

[Table of Contents](#)

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

COPYTELE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	(Unaudited) January 31, 2012	October 31, 2011
<u>ASSETS</u>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 463,037	\$ 774,040
Short-term investment in U.S. government securities and certificates of deposit	2,049,579	2,249,159
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	97,158	97,158
Total current assets	<u>2,609,774</u>	<u>3,120,357</u>
Investment in Videocon Industries Limited global depository receipts, at market value	5,277,342	5,382,051
Investment in Volga-Svet, Ltd., at cost	127,500	127,500
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,180,368 and \$2,178,291, respectively	14,697	15,924
Total assets	<u>\$ 8,029,313</u>	<u>\$ 8,645,832</u>
<u>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 449,138	\$ 374,691
Accrued liabilities	161,559	85,778
Deferred revenue, non-refundable license fees	1,444,679	1,644,679
Total current liabilities	<u>2,055,376</u>	<u>2,105,148</u>
Contingencies (Note 10)		
Deferred revenue, non-refundable license fee	235,981	482,651
Loan payable to related party (Note 1)	5,000,000	5,000,000
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$100 per share; 500,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding	—	—
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 240,000,000 shares authorized; 180,455,137 and 176,131,047 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	1,804,551	1,761,310
Additional paid-in capital	125,754,722	125,127,246
Loan receivable from related party (Note 1)	(5,000,000)	(5,000,000)
Accumulated deficit	(121,716,608)	(120,830,523)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(104,709)	—
Total shareholders' equity	<u>737,956</u>	<u>1,058,033</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 8,029,313</u>	<u>\$ 8,645,832</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

COPYTELE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

	For the Three Months Ended January 31,	
	2012	2011
Net revenue		
Revenue from sales of encryption products, net	\$ 2,525	\$ 16,958
Display technology license fee	446,670	—
Total net revenue	<u>449,195</u>	<u>16,958</u>
Cost of revenue and operating expenses		
Cost of encryption products sold	737	5,206
Research and development expenses	649,679	776,912
Selling, general and administrative expenses	686,035	760,978
Total cost of revenue and operating expenses	<u>1,336,451</u>	<u>1,543,096</u>
Loss from operations	(887,256)	(1,526,138)
Interest income	1,171	29
Loss before income taxes	(886,085)	(1,526,109)
Provision for income taxes	—	—
Net loss	<u>\$ (886,085)</u>	<u>\$ (1,526,109)</u>
Net loss per share:		
Basic and diluted	<u>\$ (0.00)</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>
Weighted average common shares outstanding:		
Basic and diluted	<u>178,298,858</u>	<u>156,204,781</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

COPYTELE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2012 (UNAUDITED)

	<u>Common Stock</u>		<u>Additional Paid-in Capital</u>	<u>Loan Receivable From Related Party</u>	<u>Accumulated Deficit</u>	<u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</u>	<u>Total Shareholders' Equity</u>
	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Par Value</u>					
Balance, October 31, 2011	176,131,047	\$1,761,310	\$125,127,246	\$(5,000,000)	\$(120,830,523)	\$ —	\$1,058,033
Stock option compensation to employees	—	—	80,757	—	—	—	80,757
Common stock issued upon exercise of stock options under stock option plans	950,000	9,500	137,750	—	—	—	147,250
Common stock issued to employees pursuant to stock incentive plans	3,083,620	30,836	375,155	—	—	—	405,991
Common stock issued to consultants pursuant to stock incentive plans	290,470	2,905	33,814	—	—	—	36,719
Unrealized (loss) on investment in Videocon Industries Limited global depository receipts	—	—	—	—	—	(104,709)	(104,709)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(886,085)	—	(886,085)
Balance, January 31, 2012	<u>180,455,137</u>	<u>\$1,804,551</u>	<u>\$125,754,722</u>	<u>\$(5,000,000)</u>	<u>\$(121,716,608)</u>	<u>\$ (104,709)</u>	<u>\$ 737,956</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

COPYTELE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

	For the Three Months Ended January 31,	
	2012	2011
Cash flow from operating activities:		
Payments to suppliers, employees and consultants	\$(659,782)	\$ (623,507)
Cash received from sales of product and services	<u>2,525</u>	<u>13,858</u>
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>\$(657,257)</u>	<u>\$(609,649)</u>
Cash flow from investing activities:		
Disbursements to acquire short-term investments in certificates of deposit	(250,000)	—
Proceeds from the sale or maturities of short-term investments in U.S. government securities and certificates of deposit	449,854	—
Proceeds from sale of Digital Info Security Co., Inc. common stock	—	22,889
Payments for purchases of property and equipment	<u>(850)</u>	<u>(6,246)</u>
Net cash provided by investing activities	<u>199,004</u>	<u>16,643</u>
Cash flow from financing activities:		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	<u>147,250</u>	<u>477,850</u>
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>147,250</u>	<u>477,850</u>
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(311,003)	(115,156)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	<u>774,040</u>	<u>1,094,116</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 463,037</u>	<u>\$ 978,960</u>
Reconciliation of net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Net loss	\$(886,085)	\$(1,526,109)
Stock option compensation to employees	80,757	259,255
Stock option compensation to consultants	—	2,127
Stock awards granted to employees pursuant to stock incentive plans	405,991	476,464
Stock awards granted to consultants pursuant to stock incentive plans	36,719	16,501
Depreciation expense	2,077	1,930
Gain on sale of Digital Info Security Co., Inc. common stock	—	(4,001)
Other	(274)	—
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	—	31,690
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	150,228	132,494
Deferred revenue	<u>(446,670)</u>	<u>—</u>
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>\$(657,257)</u>	<u>\$(609,649)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

COPYTELE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

1. BUSINESS AND FUNDING

Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

Our principal operations include the development, production and marketing of thin flat display technologies, including low-voltage phosphor color displays and low-power passive E-Paper® displays, and the development, production and marketing of multi-functional encryption products that provide information security for domestic and international users over several communications media.

The condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited, and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) for interim financial reporting, and with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) regarding interim financial reporting. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. The information contained herein is as of January 31, 2012 and for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011. In management’s opinion, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations for such periods) have been included herein. We are required to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that management believes are reasonable based upon the information available. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. We have evaluated subsequent events for possible disclosure through the date the condensed consolidated financial statements were issued.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CopyTele, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries, CopyTele International Ltd. (“CopyTele International”) and CopyTele Marketing Inc. (“CopyTele Marketing”). CopyTele International and CopyTele Marketing were incorporated in the British Virgin Islands in July 2007 and September 2007, respectively. CopyTele International was formed for the purpose of holding an investment in global depository receipts of Videocon Industries Limited, an Indian company (“Videocon”). As of January 31, 2012, CopyTele Marketing was inactive. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The results of operations for interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for a full year or any interim period. Reference is made to the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011, for more extensive disclosures than contained in these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Unless otherwise indicated, all references in this Form 10-Q to “dollars” or “\$” refer to US dollars.

Funding and Management’s Plans

Since our inception, we have met our liquidity and capital expenditure needs primarily through the proceeds from sales of common stock in our initial public offering and in private placements, upon exercise of warrants issued in connection with the private placements and our initial public offering, and upon the exercise of stock options. In addition, we have generated limited cash flows from sales of our encryption products and from license fees from Videocon related to our display technology pursuant to the Videocon License Agreement (as defined below). In May 2011, we entered into the AUO License Agreements (as defined below) with AU Optronics Corp., a Taiwanese company (“AUO”), and in June 2011 we received an initial license fee from AUO.

During the three months ended January 31, 2012, our cash used in operating activities was approximately \$657,000. This resulted from payments to suppliers, employees and consultants of approximately \$660,000, which was offset by cash of approximately \$3,000 received from collections of accounts receivable related to sales of encryption products. Our cash provided by investing activities during the three months ended January 31, 2012 was approximately \$199,000, which resulted from approximately \$450,000 received upon the sale or maturities of short-term investments consisting of certificates of deposit and U.S. government securities, offset by purchases of short-term investments consisting of certificates of deposit of \$250,000 and the purchase of equipment for approximately \$1,000. Our cash provided by financing activities during the three months ended January 31, 2012 was approximately \$147,000, which resulted from cash received upon the exercise of stock options. As a result, our cash, cash equivalents, and investments in certificates of deposit and U.S. government securities at January 31, 2012 decreased by approximately \$510,000 to approximately \$2,513,000 from approximately \$3,023,000 at October 31, 2011.

Total employee compensation expense for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$713,000 and \$968,000, respectively. During the three-months ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, a significant portion of employee compensation consisted of the issuance of stock and stock options to employees in lieu of cash compensation. We recorded stock-based compensation expense, related to stock awards granted to employees, for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011 of approximately \$406,000 and \$476,000, respectively. We recorded stock-based compensation expense, related to stock options granted to employees and directors, for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011 of approximately \$81,000 and \$259,000 respectively.

Table of Contents

In February 2011, we sold 7,000,000 unregistered shares of our common stock in a private placement at a price of \$0.1786 per share, for proceeds of \$1,250,000, of which 3,360,000 shares were sold to our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and director, and the two other directors of the Company. In conjunction with the sale of the common stock, we issued warrants to purchase 7,000,000 unregistered shares of our common stock. Each warrant grants the holder the right to purchase one share of our common stock (or 7,000,000 shares of common stock in the aggregate) at the purchase price of \$0.1786 per share on or before February 8, 2016. The warrants were valued at \$0.0756 per share using a Black-Scholes pricing model, adjusted for the estimated impact on fair value of the restrictions relating to the warrants.

We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents, and investments in U.S. government securities and certificates of deposit, together with cash flows from expected sales of our encryption products and revenue relating to our display technologies, and other potential sources of cash flows, will be sufficient to enable us to continue our marketing, production, and research and development activities for at least 12 months from the end of this reporting period. However, our projections of future cash needs and cash flows may differ from actual results. If current cash on hand and cash that may be generated from operations are insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, we may seek to sell our investment securities or other financial assets or our debt or additional equity securities or obtain loans from various financial institutions where possible. The sale of additional equity securities or convertible debt could result in dilution to our stockholders. Subsequent to January 2012, certain employees formerly receiving compensation in stock were compensated in cash. Management will continue to evaluate its compensation policies and may, in future periods, continue to compensate employees and consultants by issuing stock or stock options. We currently have no arrangements with respect to additional financing. We can give no assurance that we will generate sufficient revenues in the future (through sales, license fees and royalties, or otherwise) to satisfy our liquidity requirements or sustain future operations, that our production capabilities will be adequate, that other products will not be produced by other companies that will render our products obsolete, that employees and consultants will continue to accept stock as compensation, or that other sources of funding, such as sales of equity or debt, would be available, if needed, on favorable terms or at all. If we cannot obtain such funding if needed, we would need to curtail or cease some or all of our operations.

AU Optronics Corp.

In May 2011, we entered into an Exclusive License Agreement (the “EPD License Agreement”) with AUO. Under the EPD License Agreement, we provided AUO with an exclusive, non-transferable, worldwide license of our E-Paper® display patents and technology (the “EPD Licensed Technology”), for AUO (or an AUO subsidiary) to produce, market and sell products containing the EPD Licensed Technology, with the right to sublicense the technology to third parties. We retained the non-exclusive right to use the EPD Licensed Technology in a non-competitive manner.

In May 2011, we also entered into another license agreement (the “Nano Display License Agreement” and together with the EPD License Agreement, the “AUO License Agreements”) with AUO. Under the Nano Display License Agreement, we provided AUO

Table of Contents

with a non-exclusive, non-transferable, worldwide license of our Nano Display patents and technology (the “Nano Display Licensed Technology”), for AUO (or an AUO subsidiary) to produce, market and sell products containing the Nano Display Licensed Technology, with the right to consent to the granting of licenses of the Nano Display Licensed Technology to third parties.

Under the AUO License Agreements, AUO has agreed to pay CopyTele an aggregate license fee of up to \$10 million, of which \$3 million was paid by AUO in June 2011 and the remaining \$7 million is payable upon completion of certain conditions for the respective technologies, in each case subject to a 20% foreign withholding tax. Accordingly, in June 2011 we received a payment from AUO, net of the withholding tax, of \$2.4 million. In addition, the AUO License Agreements also provide for the basis for royalty payments by AUO to CopyTele.

Related Party Transactions with Videocon Industries Limited

In November 2007, we entered into a Technology License Agreement (as amended in May 2008, the “Videocon License Agreement”) with Videocon. In April 2008, the Indian Government approved the Videocon License Agreement. Under the Videocon License Agreement, we provided Videocon with a non-transferable, worldwide license of our technology for thin, flat, low voltage phosphor, Nano Display (the “Videocon Licensed Technology”), for Videocon (or a Videocon Group company) to produce and market products, including TVs, incorporating displays utilizing the Videocon Licensed Technology. With the approval and support of Videocon, we entered into the AUO License Agreements for AUO to utilize their production facilities to produce our display technologies, including the Videocon Licensed Technology, for their own products and potentially for Videocon products. Additional licenses of the Videocon Licensed Technology to third parties require the joint agreement of CopyTele, Videocon, and AUO.

Under the terms of the Videocon License Agreement, we were scheduled to receive a license fee of \$11 million from Videocon, payable in installments over a 27 month period and an agreed upon royalty from Videocon based on display sales by Videocon (which royalty will decrease when a specified sales level and time period are reached and may increase under other certain circumstances as a result of significant improvements in the Videocon Licensed Technology). The initial installment commenced in May 2008 however certain license fee payments have been subsequently deferred in light of our joint decision to jointly develop improved versions of our Nano Display technology and the additional time and effort required by Videocon and us to incorporate the developmental improvements related thereto which are aimed at reducing the power consumption, improving the reliability and lowering the fabrication cost. However, the aggregate amount of the payments did not change and Videocon’s obligation to make such payments continues to be subject to CopyTele’s limited performance requirements and is not dependent on any specific performance standards which must be met by completion or delivery of prototypes of CopyTele’s products in the development stage. No such license fee payments were received from Videocon during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011. As of January 31, 2012, we have received aggregate license fee payments from Videocon of \$3.2 million. We are presently in discussions with Videocon for us to receive the remaining or further license payments from Videocon, however, we cannot presently estimate specific future payment dates for the license fee payments.

Table of Contents

Under the Videocon License Agreement we continue to have the right to produce and market products utilizing the Videocon Licensed Technology. We also continue to have the right to utilize Volga-Svet Ltd., a Russian corporation (“Volga”), in which we have a 19.9% ownership interest and with whom we have been working with for more than fourteen years, and an Asian company with whom we have been working with for more than eight years, to produce and market products utilizing the Videocon Licensed Technology.

At the same time we entered into the Videocon License Agreement in November 2007, we also entered into a Share Subscription Agreement (the “Share Subscription Agreement”) with Mars Overseas Limited, an affiliate of Videocon (“Mars Overseas”). Under the Share Subscription Agreement, Mars Overseas purchased 20,000,000 unregistered shares of our common stock (the “CopyTele Shares”) from us for an aggregate purchase price of \$16,200,000. Also in November 2007, our wholly-owned subsidiary, CopyTele International, entered into a GDR Purchase Agreement with Global EPC Ventures Limited (“Global”), for CopyTele International to purchase from Global 1,495,845 global depository receipts of Videocon (the “Videocon GDRs”) for an aggregate purchase price of \$16,200,000.

For the purpose of effecting a lock up of the Videocon GDRs and CopyTele Shares (collectively, the “Securities”) for a period of seven years, and therefore restricting both parties from selling or transferring the Securities during such period, CopyTele International and Mars Overseas entered into two Loan and Pledge Agreements in November 2007. The Videocon GDRs are to be held as security for a loan in the principal amount of \$5,000,000 from Mars Overseas to CopyTele International, and the CopyTele Shares are similarly held as security for a loan in the principal amount of \$5,000,000 from CopyTele International to Mars Overseas. The loans are for a period of seven years, do not bear interest, and prepayment of the loans will not release the lien on the Securities prior to end of the seven year period. The loan agreements provide for customary events of default, which may result in forfeiture of the Securities by the defaulting party, and also provide for the transfer to the respective parties, free and clear of any encumbrances under the agreements, any dividends, distributions, rights or other proceeds or benefits in respect of the Securities. The loan receivable from Mars Overseas is classified as a contra-equity under shareholders’ equity in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet; because the loan receivable is secured by the CopyTele Shares and the Share Subscription Agreement and Loan and Pledge Agreement were entered into concurrently.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues from sales are recorded when all four of the following criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (ii) delivery has occurred and title has transferred or services have been rendered; (iii) our price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and (iv) collectibility is reasonably assured.

Table of Contents

We have assessed the revenue guidance of Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 605-25 “Multiple-Element Arrangements” (“ASC 605-25”) to determine whether multiple deliverables in our arrangement with Videocon represent separate units of accounting. Under the Videocon License Agreement, CopyTele is required to: (a) disclose to Videocon the Videocon Licensed Technology and provide reasonable training of Videocon personnel; (b) jointly cooperate with Videocon to produce prototypes prior to production; and (c) assist Videocon in preparing for production. CopyTele has determined that these performance obligations do not have value to Videocon on a standalone basis, as defined in such accounting guidance, and accordingly they do not represent separate units of accounting.

We have established objective and reasonable evidence of fair value for the royalty to be earned from Videocon during the production period based on analysis of the pricing for similar agreements. Since the inception of the Videocon License Agreement, we have not earned any royalty income. In addition, we have determined that the license fee of \$11 million to be paid during the pre-production period and royalties on product sales reflects the established fair value for these deliverables. We will recognize the \$11 million license fee over the estimated period that we expect to provide cooperation and assistance, limiting the revenue recognized on a cumulative basis to the aggregate license fee payments received from Videocon. As a result of ongoing improvements to our display technology, we have extended the estimated period that we expect to provide cooperation and assistance. We will assess at each reporting period the progress and assistance provided and will continue to evaluate the period during which this fee will be recognized. No such license fee revenue from Videocon was recognized for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011.

We have also assessed the revenue guidance of ASC 605-25 to determine whether multiple deliverables in our arrangements with AUO represent separate units of accounting. Under the AUO License Agreements, we received initial license fees of \$3 million and could receive up to an additional \$7 million in license fees upon completion of certain conditions for the respective technologies. We have determined that the transfer of the licensed patents and technology and the effort involved in completion of the conditions for the respective technologies represent a single unit of accounting. Accordingly, using a proportional performance method, during the third quarter of fiscal year 2011 we began recognizing the \$3 million initial license fees over the estimated period that we expect to complete the conditions for the respective technologies and not recognize the \$7 million as it is considered contingent revenue. Upon completion of the various conditions for the respective technologies, the additional license fees of \$7 million will be recognized over this performance period, limiting the revenue recognized on a cumulative basis to the aggregate license fee payments received from AUO. At each reporting period we assess the progress in completing these efforts and recognize license fee revenue over the remaining estimated period that we expect to complete the conditions for the respective technologies. On this basis, we reassessed the performance period during the fourth

Table of Contents

quarter of fiscal year 2011, which is reflected in the recognized license fee revenue from AUO for the three-month period ended January 31, 2012 of approximately \$447,000. License fee payments received from AUO which are in excess of the amounts recognized as revenue (approximately \$1,681,000 as of January 31, 2012) are recorded as non-refundable deferred revenue on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet. The AUO License Agreements also provide for the basis for royalty payments on future production, if any, by AUO to CopyTele, which we have determined represent separate units of accounting. We have not recognized any royalty income under the AUO License Agreements.

2. STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

We maintain stock equity incentive plans under which we may grant non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, performance awards, or stock units to employees, directors and consultants.

Stock Option Compensation Expense

We account for stock options granted to employees and directors using the accounting guidance in ASC 718 "Stock Compensation" ("ASC 718"). In accordance with ASC 718, we estimate the fair value of stock options granted on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model. We recognize compensation expense for stock option awards on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the grant. We recorded stock-based compensation expense, related to stock options granted to employees and directors, of approximately \$81,000 and \$259,000, during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Such compensation expense is included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations in either research and development expenses or selling, general and administrative expenses, as applicable based on the functions performed by such employees and directors.

Included in stock based compensation cost related to stock options granted to employees and directors recorded during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$2,000 and \$2,000, respectively, of expense related to the amortization of compensation cost for stock options granted in prior periods but not yet vested. As of January 31, 2012, there was approximately \$5,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements for stock options granted to employees and directors which is expected to be amortized during the current fiscal year.

We account for stock options granted to consultants using the accounting guidance under ASC 505-50 "Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees" ("ASC 505-50"). In accordance with ASC 505-50, we estimate the fair value of stock options granted on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model. We recognized consulting expense for options granted to non-employee consultants, during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, of \$0-, and \$2,000, respectively. Such consulting expense is included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations in either research and development expenses or selling, general and administrative expenses, as applicable based on the functions performed by such consultants. As of January 31, 2012, there was no unrecognized consulting expense related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements for stock options granted to consultants.

Table of Contents

Fair Value Determination

We separate the employees and directors we grant stock options to into three relatively homogenous groups, based on exercise and post-vesting employment termination behaviors. To determine the weighted average fair value of stock options on the date of grant for options granted to employees and directors, we take a weighted average of the assumptions used for each of these groups. The fair value of stock options granted to consultants is determined on an individual basis. Stock options we granted during the three months ended January 31, 2012 and 2011 consisted of awards of options with 10-year terms which vested immediately.

The following weighted average assumptions were used in estimating the fair value of stock options granted during the three months ended January 31, 2012 and 2011.

	For the Three Months Ended January 31,	
	2012	2011
Weighted average fair value at grant date	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.13
Valuation assumptions:		
Expected life (years)	1.6	2.5
Expected volatility	124%	99%
Risk-free interest rate	.20%	.62%
Expected dividend yield	0	0

The expected term of stock options represents the weighted average period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding. Actual historical performance is used for awards exercised or cancelled. For awards that remain unexercised and outstanding, even exercise over the remaining contractual term is assumed. Each category is weighted for its relative size in the population and is then multiplied by the indicated expected term for each category to arrive at the expected term for the population. We estimated the expected volatility of our shares of common stock based upon the historical volatility of our share price over a period of time equal to the expected term of the options. We estimated the risk-free interest rate based on the implied yield available on the applicable grant date of a U.S. Treasury note with a term equal to the expected term of the underlying grants. We made the dividend yield assumption based on our history of not paying dividends and our expectation not to pay dividends in the future. Under ASC 718, the amount of stock-based compensation expense recognized is based on the portion of the awards that are ultimately expected to vest. Accordingly, we reduce the fair value of the stock option awards for expected forfeitures, which are forfeitures of the unvested portion of surrendered options. We estimated expected forfeitures based on our historical experience.

Table of Contents

We will reconsider use of the Black-Scholes pricing model if additional information becomes available in the future that indicates another model would be more appropriate, or if grants issued in future periods have characteristics that cannot be reasonably estimated using this model. If factors change and we employ different assumptions in the application of ASC 718 and ASC 505-50 in future periods, the compensation expense that we record may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period.

Stock Option Activity

During the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, we granted options to purchase 950,000 shares and 2,005,000 shares, respectively, to employees, directors and consultants of common stock at weighted average exercise prices of \$0.15 and \$0.24 per share, respectively, pursuant to the CopyTele, Inc. 2003 Share Incentive Plan (the "2003 Share Plan") and the CopyTele, Inc. 2010 Share Incentive Plan (the "2010 Share Plan"). During the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, stock options to purchase 950,000 shares and 1,995,000 shares, respectively, of common stock were exercised with aggregate proceeds of approximately \$148,000 and \$478,000, respectively.

Stock Option Plans

As of January 31, 2012, we have two stock option plans: the 2003 Share Plan, and the 2010 Share Plan, which were adopted by our Board of Directors on April 21, 2003 and July 14, 2010, respectively.

The remaining outstanding stock options granted under the CopyTele Inc. 1993 Stock Option Plan and the CopyTele 2000 Share Incentive Plan expired during fiscal years ended October 31, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

The 2003 Share Plan provides for the grant of nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, performance awards and stock units to key employees and consultants. The maximum number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the 2003 Share Plan is 70,000,000 shares. The 2003 Share Plan was administered by the Stock Option Committee through June 2004, from June 2004 through July 2010, the 2003 Share Plan was administered by the Board of Directors and since July 2010, the 2003 Share Plan has been administered by the Stock Option Committee, which determines the option price, term and provisions of each option. The exercise price with respect to all of the options granted under the 2003 Share Plan since its inception was equal to the fair market value of the underlying common stock at the grant date. As of January 31, 2012, the 2003 Share Plan had 108,990 shares available for future grants. Information regarding the 2003 Share Plan for the three months ended January 31, 2012 is as follows:

	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share</u>	<u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value</u>
Options Outstanding and Exercisable at October 31, 2011 and January 31, 2012	<u>17,552,045</u>	\$ 0.81	\$ -0-

[Table of Contents](#)

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding under the 2003 Share Plan as of January 31, 2012:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding & Exercisable		
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.25 - \$0.65	4,600,970	3.33	\$ 0.57
\$0.68 - \$0.84	5,156,075	4.57	\$ 0.79
\$0.86 - \$0.92	4,665,000	5.60	\$ 0.89
\$1.04 - \$1.46	3,130,000	3.90	\$ 1.10

The 2010 Share Plan provides for the grant of nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, performance awards and stock units to key employees and consultants. The maximum number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the 2010 Share Plan was initially 15,000,000 shares. On July 6, 2011, the 2010 Share Plan was amended by our Board of Directors to increase the maximum number of shares of common stock that may be granted to 27,000,000 shares. Current and future non-employee directors are automatically granted nonqualified stock options to purchase up to 60,000 shares of common stock upon their initial election to the Board of Directors and 60,000 shares of common stock at the time of each subsequent annual meeting of our shareholders at which they are elected to the Board of Directors. The 2010 Share Plan is administered by the Stock Option Committee, which determines the option price, term and provisions of each option. The exercise price with respect to the options granted under the 2010 Share Plan was equal to the fair market value of the underlying common stock at the grant date. As of January 31, 2012, the 2010 Share Plan had 3,161,475 shares available for future grants. Information regarding the 2010 Share Plan for the three months ended January 31, 2012 is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options Outstanding at October 31, 2011	1,050,000	\$ 0.31	
Granted	950,000	\$ 0.15	
Exercised	(950,000)	\$ 0.15	
Options Outstanding at January 31, 2012	1,050,000	\$ 0.31	\$ -0-
Options Exercisable at January 31, 2012	990,000	\$ 0.32	\$ -0-

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding under the 2010 Share Plan as of January 31, 2012:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.17 - \$0.37	1,050,000	9.00	\$ 0.31	990,000	9.25	\$ 0.32

Stock Awards

We account for stock awards granted to employees and consultants based on their grant date fair value, in accordance with ASC 718 and ASC 505-50, respectively. During the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, we issued 3,083,620 shares and 2,375,435 shares, respectively, of common stock to certain employees for services rendered, principally in lieu of cash compensation, pursuant to the 2010 Share Plan and the 2003 Share Plan. We recorded compensation expense for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, of approximately \$406,000 and \$476,000, respectively, for the shares of common stock issued to employees. Such compensation expense is included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations in either research and development expenses or selling, general and administrative expenses, as applicable based on the functions performed by such employees and directors. In addition, during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, we issued 290,470 shares and 78,750 shares, respectively, of common stock to consultants for services rendered pursuant to the 2010 Share Plan and the 2003 Share Plan. We recorded consulting expense for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011 of approximately \$37,000 and \$17,000, respectively for the shares of common stock issued to consultants. Such consulting expense is included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations in either research and development expenses or selling, general and administrative expenses, as applicable based on the functions performed by such consultants.

Table of Contents

3. CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of accounts receivable from sales in the ordinary course of business. Management reviews our accounts receivable for potential doubtful accounts and maintains an allowance for estimated uncollectible amounts. Generally, no collateral is received from customers for our accounts receivable. Our policy is to write-off uncollectible amounts at the time it is determined that collection will not occur. During the three months ended January 31, 2012, one customer in the Display Technology Segment represented 100% of that segment's revenue and 99% of total net revenue. During the three months ended January 31, 2011, three customers in the Encryption Products and Services Segment represented 56%, 31% and 10%, respectively, of that segment's revenue and total net revenue.

4. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

ASC 820 "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" ("ASC 820") defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. In accordance with ASC 820, we have categorized our financial assets, based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique, into a three-level fair value hierarchy as set forth below. We do not have any financial liabilities that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. If the inputs used to measure the financial instruments fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the categorization is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

Financial assets recorded in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets are categorized based on the inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

Level 1 – Financial assets whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market which we have the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 – Financial assets whose values are based on quoted market prices in markets where trading occurs infrequently or whose values are based on quoted prices of instruments with similar attributes in active markets.

Level 3 – Financial assets whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These inputs reflect management's own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset. We do not currently have any Level 3 financial assets.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the hierarchy for our financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of January 31, 2012:

	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	<u>Total</u>
Money market funds – Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 356,852	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 356,852
U.S. government securities and certificates of deposit - Short-term investments		2,049,579		2,049,579
Videocon Industries Limited global depository receipts	<u>5,277,341</u>			<u>5,277,341</u>
Total financial assets	<u>\$5,634,193</u>	<u>\$2,049,579</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$7,683,772</u>

The following table presents the hierarchy for our financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of October 31, 2011:

	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	<u>Total</u>
Money market funds – Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,685	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 5,685
U.S. government securities - Cash and cash equivalents		599,994		599,994
U.S. government securities and certificates of deposit - Short-term investments		2,249,159		2,249,159
Videocon Industries Limited global depository receipts	<u>5,382,051</u>			<u>5,382,051</u>
Total financial assets	<u>\$5,387,736</u>	<u>\$2,849,153</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$8,236,889</u>

Our non financial assets and liabilities that are measured on a non-recurring basis include our property and equipment which are measured using fair value techniques whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate a condition of impairment exists. These assets were not presented in the preceding table.

It is impractical to determine the fair value of the investment in Volga given that Volga is a Russian company, operates under Russian corporate law, and Volga does not use GAAP. This investment was not presented in the preceding table.

The estimated fair value of accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximates their individual carrying amounts due to the short term nature of these measurements. It is impractical to determine the fair value of the loan receivable and loan payable to the related party given the nature of these loans. These assets and liabilities were not presented in the preceding table.

Table of Contents

5. INVESTMENTS

Short-term Investments

At January 31, 2012, and October 31, 2011, we had marketable securities consisting of U.S. government securities and certificates of deposit of approximately \$2,050,000 and \$2,249,000, respectively, which were classified as “available-for-sale securities” and reported at fair value, which approximates cost. Realized gains and losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities are determined on a specific identification basis.

Investment in Videocon

Our investment in Videocon is classified as an “available-for-sale security” and reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from operations and reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders’ equity. The original cost basis of \$16,200,000 was determined using the specific identification method. The fair value of the Videocon GDRs is based on the price on the Luxembourg Stock Exchange, which price is based on the underlying price of Videocon’s equity shares which are traded on stock exchanges in India with prices quoted in rupees.

ASC 320 “Investments-Debt and Equity Securities” (“ASC 320”) and SEC guidance on other than temporary impairments of certain investments in equity securities requires an evaluation to determine if the decline in fair value of an investment is either temporary or other than temporary. Unless evidence exists to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the carrying cost of the investment, an other than temporary impairment should be recorded. At each reporting period we assess our investment in Videocon to determine if a decline that is other than temporary has occurred. In evaluating our investment in Videocon at October 31, 2011, we determined that based on both the duration and the continuing magnitude of the market price decline compared to the carrying cost basis of approximately \$7,105,000, and the uncertainty of its recovery, a write-down of the investment of approximately \$1,723,000 should be recorded as of October 31, 2011, and a new cost basis of approximately \$5,382,000 should be established.

The fair value of investment in Videocon as of January 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011, and the unrealized loss for the three month period ended January 31, 2012, are as follows:

	Investment in Videocon
Fair Value as of October 31, 2011	\$5,382,051
Unrealized loss	(104,709)
Fair Value as of January 31, 2012	<u>\$5,277,342</u>

Investment in Volga-Svet, Ltd

In September 2009, we entered into the Volga License Agreement to produce and market our thin, flat, low voltage phosphor displays in Russia. We have been working

Table of Contents

with Volga for the past fourteen years to assist us with our low voltage phosphor displays. As part of the Volga License Agreement, Volga is required to purchase from us the matrix substrate, carbon nanotubes, and associated display electronics, however, no purchases have been made to date. In addition, in September 2009, we acquired a 19.9% ownership interest in Volga in exchange for 150,000 unregistered shares of our common stock. As we do not believe that we can exercise significant influence over Volga, our investment in Volga is recorded at cost of \$127,500 based on the closing price of our common stock at the time of the acquisition. As of January 31, 2012, we have not identified any events or changes in circumstances that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment. Research and development expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations include payments to Volga for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011 of approximately \$150,000 and \$128,000, respectively.

Investment in ZQX Advisors, LLC

In August 2009, we entered into an Engagement Agreement with ZQX Advisors, LLC (“ZQX”) to assist us in seeking business opportunities and licenses for our electrophoretic display technology. Concurrently with entering into the Engagement Agreement, we acquired a 19.5% ownership interest in ZQX and they agreed to attempt to locate business opportunities and licenses for our technology. In exchange for the 19.5% ownership interest and related services, we issued 800,000 unregistered shares of common stock as well as warrants to purchase an additional 500,000 unregistered shares of common stock, half of which are exercisable at \$0.37 per share and the other half at \$0.555 per share to ZQX. The warrants are exercisable at any time after August 19, 2010 and expire on August 19, 2019. The total fair value of the common stock and warrants was approximately \$468,000. We recognized approximately \$377,000 of this amount as consulting expense in fiscal year 2009 since the two other owners of ZQX did not contribute any assets to ZQX but instead have agreed to seek business opportunities and licenses for our electrophoretic display technology. In addition, we have classified our remaining ownership interest of \$91,000 in ZQX as a reduction of additional paid-in capital within shareholders’ equity since this investment in ZQX consists entirely of our equity securities.

6. NET LOSS PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK

In accordance with ASC 260, “Earnings Per Share”, basic net loss per common share (“Basic EPS”) is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net loss per common share (“Diluted EPS”) is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive common share equivalents and convertible securities then outstanding. Diluted EPS for all periods presented is the same as Basic EPS, as the inclusion of the effect of common share equivalents then outstanding would be anti-dilutive. For this reason, excluded from the calculation of Diluted EPS for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, were stock options to purchase 18,602,045 and 19,422,045 shares respectively, and warrants to purchase 7,500,000 and 500,000 shares, respectively.

[Table of Contents](#)

7. EFFECT OF RECENTLY ISSUED PRONOUNCEMENTS

Effective February 1, 2010, we adopted the new Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2010-06, which requires additional fair value disclosures. This guidance requires reporting entities to disclose transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 and requires gross presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the Level 3 reconciliation of the three-tier fair value hierarchy. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements related to Level 3 activity. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The guidance on Level 3 activity is effective for our fiscal year beginning November 1, 2011. As this guidance is only disclosure related, it did not have an impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Effective November 1, 2010, we adopted the new FASB ASU No. 2009-13. This ASU amends ASC Subtopic 605-25 to eliminate the requirement that all undelivered elements have Vendor Specific Objective Evidence (“VSOE”) or Third-Party Evidence (“TPE”) before an entity can recognize the portion of an overall arrangement fee that is attributable to items that already have been delivered. In the absence of VSOE or TPE for one or more delivered or undelivered elements in a multiple-element arrangement, we will be required to estimate the selling prices of those elements that meet the remaining separation criteria. The overall arrangement fee will be allocated to each element based on their relative selling prices, regardless of whether those selling prices are evidenced by VSOE or TPE or are based on the entity’s estimated selling price. Additionally, the new guidance will require us to disclose more information about multiple-element revenue arrangements. We have applied this guidance prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified after November 1, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220), Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU 2011-05 requires that all non-owner changes in stockholder’s equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both cases, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. Since ASU 2011-05 does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income, it will have no impact on our financial position or results of operations. ASU 2011-05 is effective retrospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. We have not elected to early adopt and will adopt ASU 2011-05 in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013.

Table of Contents

8. INCOME TAXES

We file Federal and New York State income tax returns. Due to net operating losses, the statute of limitations remains open since the fiscal year ended October 31, 1996. We account for interest and penalties related to income tax matters in selling, general and administrative expenses. There are no unrecognized benefits as of January 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011.

9. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We follow the accounting guidance of ASC 280 "Segment Reporting" ("ASC 280"). Reportable operating segments are determined based on management's approach. The management approach, as defined by ASC 280, is based on the way that the chief operating decision-maker organizes the segments within an enterprise for making operating decisions and assessing performance. While our results of operations are primarily reviewed on a consolidated basis, the chief operating decision-maker also manages the enterprise in two segments: (i) Display Technology and (ii) Encryption Products and Services. The following represents selected financial information for our segments for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011:

<u>Segment Data</u>	<u>Display Technology</u>	<u>Encryption Products and Services</u>	<u>Total</u>
Three Months Ended January 31, 2012:			
Net revenue	\$ 446,670	\$ 2,525	\$ 449,195
Net loss	(690,360)	(195,725)	(886,085)
Three Months Ended January 31, 2011:			
Net revenue	\$ —	\$ 16,958	\$ 16,958
Net loss	(1,142,171)	(383,938)	(1,526,109)

10. CONTINGENCES

We are not involved in any litigation or other legal proceedings and management is not aware of any pending litigation or legal proceeding against us that would have a material adverse effect upon our results of operations or financial condition.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

GENERAL

As used herein, “we,” “us,” “our,” the “Company” or “CopyTele” means CopyTele, Inc. unless otherwise indicated. Unless otherwise indicated, all references in this Form 10-Q to “dollars” or “\$” refer to US dollars.

Our principal operations include the development, production and marketing of thin flat display technologies, including low-voltage phosphor color displays and low-power passive E-Paper® displays, and the development, production and marketing of multi-functional encryption products that provide information security for domestic and international users over several communications media.

As part of our ongoing development activities, we continue to conduct improvement programs related to both our electrophoretic display (“EPD”) and flat panel, low voltage phosphor, nanotube display (“Nano Display”) technologies to meet anticipated future customer needs. Our advanced new EPD technology utilizes specially coated particles in combination with a unique type of pixel structure to create an image. This new technology is applicable to electronic books and other low power applications. We believe that our advanced EPD technology will have higher contrast, considerably faster operation, and be produced at a lower cost than current electrophoretic displays. Our Nano Display technology incorporates a new type of low voltage, efficient color phosphors in combination with nano materials and an electron emission system utilizing nano materials to produce color video information. Our Nano Display technology is applicable to small hand-held and larger size applications, including TVs. We believe our Nano Display could potentially have a cost similar to a CRT (cathode ray tube) and thus cost less than current LCDs (liquid crystal displays), partly because our Nano Display does not contain a backlight, color filter or polarizer which represent a substantial portion of the cost of an LCD.

In May 2011, we entered into an Exclusive License Agreement (the “EPD License Agreement”) with AU Optronics Corp., a Taiwanese company (“AUO”). Under the EPD License Agreement, we provided AUO with an exclusive, non-transferable, worldwide license of our E-Paper® display patents and technology (the “EPD Licensed Technology”), for AUO (or an AUO subsidiary) to produce, market and sell products containing the EPD Licensed Technology, with the right to sublicense the technology to third parties. We retained the non-exclusive right to use the EPD Licensed Technology in a non-competitive manner.

In May 2011, we also entered into another license agreement (the “Nano Display License Agreement” and together with the EPD License Agreement, the “AUO License Agreements”) with AUO. Under the Nano Display License Agreement, we provided AUO with a non-exclusive, non-transferable, worldwide license of our Nano Display patents and technology (the “Nano Display Licensed Technology”), for AUO (or an AUO subsidiary) to produce, market and sell products containing the Nano Display Licensed Technology, with the right to consent to the granting of licenses of the Nano Display Licensed Technology to third parties.

Table of Contents

Since entering into the AUO License Agreements, both AUO and CopyTele have set up project teams to implement our EPD and Nano Display technologies. We are continuously providing technical support to AUO's project teams. This support includes coordinating our technologies to interface with AUO's production requirements.

Under the AUO License Agreements, AUO has agreed to pay CopyTele an aggregate license fee of up to \$10 million, of which \$3 million was paid by AUO in June 2011 and the remaining \$7 million is payable upon completion of certain conditions for the respective technologies, in each case subject to a 20% foreign withholding tax. Accordingly, in June 2011 we received a payment from AUO, net of the withholding tax, of \$2.4 million. In addition, the AUO License Agreements also provide for the basis for royalty payments by AUO to CopyTele.

In November 2007, we entered into a Technology License Agreement (as amended in May 2008, the "Videocon License Agreement") with Videocon Industries Limited, an Indian company ("Videocon"). In April 2008, the Indian Government approved the Videocon License Agreement. Under the Videocon License Agreement, we provided Videocon with a non-transferable, worldwide license of our technology for thin, flat, low voltage phosphor Nano Displays (the "Videocon Licensed Technology"), for Videocon (or a Videocon Group company) to produce and market products, including TVs, incorporating displays utilizing the Videocon Licensed Technology. Under the terms of the Videocon License Agreement, we were scheduled to receive a license fee of \$11 million from Videocon, payable in installments over a 27 month period which commenced in May 2008, and an agreed upon royalty from Videocon based on display sales by Videocon. The license fee payments were subsequently deferred as described more fully in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended October 31, 2011.

With the approval and support of Videocon, we entered into the AUO License Agreements for AUO to utilize their production facilities to produce our display technologies, including the Videocon Licensed Technology, for their own products and potentially for Videocon products. Additional licenses of the Videocon Licensed Technology to third parties require the joint agreement of CopyTele, Videocon and AUO. With our Nano Display License Agreement with AUO, we have concentrated our technical support to AUO to implement our Nano Display technology utilizing AUO's facilities. Under the Nano Display License Agreement, Videocon has the potential to receive the Nano Displays produced by AUO and to incorporate the displays into their own products. As a result, we are presently in discussions with Videocon to enter into a new arrangement for us to receive the remaining or further license payments from Videocon, however, we cannot presently estimate specific future payment dates for the license fee payments. As of January 31, 2012, we have received aggregate license fee payments from Videocon of \$3.2 million. No such license fee payments were received from Videocon during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011.

Table of Contents

Under the Videocon License Agreement we continue to have the right to produce and market products utilizing the Videocon Licensed Technology. We also continue to have the right to utilize Volga-Svet Ltd., a Russian corporation (“Volga”), with whom we have been working with for more than fourteen years, and an Asian company with whom we have been working with for more than eight years, to produce and market products utilizing the Videocon Licensed Technology.

At the same time we entered into the Videocon License Agreement in November 2007, we also entered into a Share Subscription Agreement (the “Share Subscription Agreement”) with Mars Overseas Limited, an affiliate of Videocon (“Mars Overseas”). Under the Share Subscription Agreement, Mars Overseas purchased 20,000,000 unregistered shares of our common stock (the “CopyTele Shares”) from us for an aggregate purchase price of \$16,200,000. Also in November 2007, our wholly-owned subsidiary, CopyTele International Ltd. (“CopyTele International”), entered into a GDR Purchase Agreement with Global EPC Ventures Limited (“Global”), for CopyTele International to purchase from Global 1,495,845 global depository receipts of Videocon (the “Videocon GDRs”), for an aggregate purchase price of \$16,200,000. The fair value of our investment in the Videocon GDRs as of January 31, 2012 was approximately \$5,277,000. For the purpose of effecting a lock up of the Videocon GDRs and CopyTele Shares (collectively, the “Securities”) for a period of seven years, and therefore restricting both parties from selling or transferring the Securities during such period, CopyTele International and Mars Overseas entered into two Loan and Pledge Agreements in November 2007. The Videocon GDRs are to be held as security for a loan in the principal amount of \$5,000,000 from Mars Overseas to CopyTele International, and the CopyTele Shares are similarly held as security for a loan in the principal amount of \$5,000,000 from CopyTele International to Mars Overseas. See Note 1 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Our Nano Display technology includes a proprietary mixture of specially coated carbon nanotubes and nano materials in combination with our proprietary low voltage color phosphors. The specially coated carbon nanotubes, which are supplied to us by a U.S. company, and nano materials, require a low voltage for electron emission and are extremely small – approximately 1 ten thousandth the width of a human hair. Our technology utilizes a new memory-based active matrix thin film technology with each pixel phosphor activated by electrons emitted by a proprietary carbon nanotube network located extremely close to the pixels. The matrix also has a high pixel field factor to obtain high contrast and low power consumption. As a result, each pixel phosphor brightness is controlled using less than 40 volts. The carbon nanotubes and proprietary color phosphors are precisely placed and separated utilizing our proprietary nanotube and phosphor deposition technology. We have developed a process of maintaining uniform carbon nanotube deposition independent of phosphor deposition. We have also developed a method of enhancing nanotube electron emission to increase the brightness of this type of display.

In September 2009, we entered into a Technology License Agreement (the “Volga License Agreement”) with Volga to produce and market our thin, flat, low voltage phosphor, Nano Displays in Russia. As part of the Volga License Agreement, Volga is required to purchase from us the matrix substrate, carbon nanotubes, and associated display electronics for any production of the licensed displays. However, no purchases have been made to the date. In addition, in September 2009, we entered into a separate agreement with Volga whereby we obtained a 19.9% ownership interest in Volga in exchange for 150,000 unregistered shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents

We have evaluated our E-Paper® electrophoretic intellectual property with ZQX Advisors, LLC (“ZQX”) under our August 2009 Engagement Agreement. This included a review of our patent claims in connection with patents relating to the current e-reader market. We continue to maintain a 19.5% interest in ZQX.

In August 2009, we entered into an initial development agreement with a U.S. company to provide engineering and implementation support for the development of our patented extremely low power passive monochrome or color display for use in portable devices including e-books. Our proprietary extremely low power display incorporates a new micro-matrix substrate. Our display is designed to have bi-stability capability, and to use low power when an image is created. We have jointly performed design simulations to verify its performance.

We continue to pursue opportunities to market our voice, fax and data encryption solutions in commercial and government markets. We presently have limited revenue from our encryption products and services segment

Our operations and the achievement of our objectives in marketing, production, and research and development are dependent upon an adequate cash flow. Accordingly, in monitoring our financial position and results of operations, particular attention is given to cash and accounts receivable balances and cash flows from operations. Since our initial public offering, our cash flows have been primarily generated through the sales of common stock in private placements and upon exercise of warrants and stock options. Since 1999, we have generated limited cash flows from sales of our encryption products, and in May 2008 we began receiving license fees from Videocon and in June 2011 we began receiving license fees from AUO, related to our display technology. We are seeking to improve our liquidity through sales or license of products and technology.

In reviewing Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, you should refer to our condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes related thereto.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICES

Our condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. As such, we are required to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that management believes are reasonable based upon the information available. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods.

We believe the following critical accounting polices affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements. For additional discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011.

[Table of Contents](#)

Revenue Recognition

Revenues from sales are recorded when all four of the following criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (ii) delivery has occurred and title has transferred or services have been rendered; (iii) our price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and (iv) collectibility is reasonably assured.

We have assessed the revenue guidance of ASC 605-25 to determine whether multiple deliverables in our arrangement with Videocon represent separate units of accounting. Under the Videocon License Agreement, CopyTele is required to: (a) disclose to Videocon the Videocon Licensed Technology and provide reasonable training of Videocon personnel; (b) jointly cooperate with Videocon to produce prototypes prior to production; and (c) assist Videocon in preparing for production. CopyTele has determined that these performance obligations do not have value to Videocon on a standalone basis, as defined in such accounting guidance, and accordingly they do not represent separate units of accounting.

We have established objective and reasonable evidence of fair value for the royalty to be earned from Videocon during the production period based on analysis of the pricing for similar agreements. Since the inception of the Videocon License Agreement, we have not earned any royalty income. In addition, we have determined that the license fee of \$11 million to be paid during the pre-production period and royalties on product sales reflects the established fair value for these deliverables. We will recognize the \$11 million license fee over the estimated period that we expect to provide cooperation and assistance, limiting the revenue recognized on a cumulative basis to the aggregate license fee payments received from Videocon. As a result of ongoing improvements to our display technology, we have extended the estimated period that we expect to provide cooperation and assistance. We will assess at each reporting period the progress and assistance provided and will continue to evaluate the period during which this fee will be recognized. No such license fee revenue from Videocon was recognized for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011.

We have also assessed the revenue guidance of ASC 605-25 to determine whether multiple deliverables in our arrangements with AUO represent separate units of accounting. Under the AUO License Agreements, we received initial license fees of \$3 million and could receive up to an additional \$7 million in license fees upon completion of certain conditions for the respective technologies. We have determined that the transfer of the licensed patents and technology and the effort involved in completion of the conditions for the respective technologies represent a single unit of accounting. Accordingly, using a proportional performance method, during the third quarter of fiscal year 2011 we began recognizing the \$3 million initial license fees over the estimated period that we expect to complete the conditions for the respective technologies and not recognize the \$7 million as it is considered contingent revenue. Upon completion of the various conditions for the

Table of Contents

respective technologies, the additional license fees of \$7 million will be recognized over this performance period, limiting the revenue recognized on a cumulative basis to the aggregate license fee payments received from AUO. At each reporting period we assess the progress in completing these efforts and recognize license fee revenue over the remaining estimated period that we expect to complete the conditions for the respective technologies. On this basis, we reassessed the performance period during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011, which is reflected in the recognized license fee revenue from AUO for the three-month period ended January 31, 2012 of approximately \$447,000. License fee payments received from AUO which are in excess of the amounts recognized as revenue (approximately \$1,681,000 as of January 31, 2012) are recorded as non-refundable deferred revenue on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet. The AUO License Agreements also provide for the basis for royalty payments on future production, if any, by AUO to CopyTele, which we have determined represent separate units of accounting. We have not recognized any royalty income under the AUO License Agreements.

Investment Securities

Our investment securities are classified as available-for-sale and reported at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses, net of the related tax effect, on available-for-sale securities are excluded from earnings and are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until realized. Realized gains and losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities are determined on a specific identification basis. Dividend and interest income are recognized when earned.

We monitor the value of our investments for indicators of impairment, including changes in market conditions and the operating results of the underlying investment that may result in the inability to recover the carrying value of the investment. During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011, we determined that based on both the duration and the continuing magnitude of the market price decline and the uncertainty of its recoverability, there was an other than temporary impairment in both our Videocon and Digital Info Security Co. Inc. ("DISC") investments. We will record an additional impairment charge if and when we believe any such investment has experienced an additional decline that is other than temporary.

Stock Based Compensation

We account for stock options granted to employees and directors using the accounting guidance in ASC 718. We recognize compensation expense for stock option awards on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the grant. We recorded stock-based compensation expense, related to stock options granted to employees and directors, of approximately \$81,000 and \$259,000, respectively, during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011. We account for stock options granted to consultants using the accounting guidance under ASC 505-50. We recognized consulting expense for stock options granted to non-employee consultants during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, of \$-0- and \$2,000, respectively. See Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

[Table of Contents](#)

Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of stock-based awards requires judgment, including estimating stock price volatility, forfeiture rates and expected term. If factors change and we employ different assumptions in the application of ASC 718 and ASC 505-50 in future periods, the compensation expense that we record may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period. See Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three months ended January 31, 2012 compared with three months ended January 31, 2011

Net Revenue

Net revenue increased by approximately \$432,000 in the three months ended January 31, 2012, to approximately \$449,000, as compared to approximately \$17,000 in the comparable prior-year period. Revenue during the current period from display technology license fees of approximately \$447,000 related to the AUO License Agreements. We had no revenue from display technology license fees during the comparable prior-year period. See “- General” above in this Item 2. Revenue from sales of encryption products decreased by approximately \$14,000 in the three months ended January 31, 2012, to approximately \$3,000, as compared to approximately \$17,000 in the comparable prior-year period. Our encryption revenue has been limited and is sensitive to individual transactions.

Cost of Encryption Products Sold

The cost of encryption products sold decreased by approximately \$4,000 in the three months ended January 31, 2012, to approximately \$1,000, as compared to approximately \$5,000 in the comparable prior-year period.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses decreased by approximately \$127,000 in the three months ended January 31, 2012, to approximately \$650,000, from approximately \$777,000 in the comparable prior-year period. The decrease in research and development expenses was principally due to a decrease in employee stock option expense of approximately \$111,000, and a decrease in employee compensation and related costs, other than stock option expense, of approximately \$41,000, offset by an increase in outside research and development costs of approximately \$23,000.

[Table of Contents](#)

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by approximately \$75,000 to approximately \$686,000 in the three months ended January 31, 2012, from approximately \$761,000 in the comparable prior-year period. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses was principally due to a decrease in employee stock option expense of approximately \$67,000, and a decrease in employee compensation and related costs, other than stock option expense, of approximately \$36,000, offset by an increase in legal and accounting fees of approximately \$33,000.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Since our inception, we have met our liquidity and capital expenditure needs primarily through the proceeds from sales of common stock in our initial public offering and in private placements, upon exercise of warrants issued in connection with the private placements and our initial public offering, and upon the exercise of stock options. In addition, we have generated limited cash flows from sales of our encryption products and from license fees from Videocon related to our display technology pursuant to the Videocon License Agreement. In May 2011, we entered into the AUO License Agreements, and in June 2011 we received an initial license fee, net of foreign withholding tax, of \$2,400,000 from AUO.

During the three months ended January 31, 2012, our cash used in operating activities was approximately \$657,000. This resulted from payments to suppliers, employees and consultants of approximately \$660,000, which was offset by cash of approximately \$3,000 received from collections of accounts receivable related to sales of encryption products. Our cash provided by investing activities during the three months ended January 31, 2012 was approximately \$199,000, which resulted from approximately \$450,000 received upon the sale or maturities of short-term investments consisting of certificates of deposit and U.S. government securities, offset by purchases of short-term investments consisting of certificates of deposit of \$250,000 and the purchase of equipment for approximately \$1,000. Our cash provided by financing activities during the three months ended January 31, 2012 was approximately \$147,000, which resulted from cash received upon the exercise of stock options. As a result, our cash, cash equivalents, and investments in certificates of deposit and U.S. government securities at January 31, 2012 decreased by approximately \$510,000 to approximately \$2,513,000 from approximately \$3,023,000 at October 31, 2011.

Prepaid expenses and other current assets of approximately \$97,000 remained unchanged from October 31, 2011 to January 31, 2012. Investment in Videocon is recorded at fair value and decreased to approximately \$5,277,000 at January 31, 2012 from approximately \$5,382,000 at October 31, 2011, as a result of a decrease in the price of Videocon's GDRs which are listed on the Luxembourg Stock Exchange. There was no change in the investment in Volga at January 31, 2012 from approximately \$128,000 at October 31, 2011. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities increased by approximately \$151,000 from approximately \$460,000 at October 31, 2011 to approximately \$611,000 at January 31, 2012, as a result of the timing of payments. Deferred revenue decreased by

Table of Contents

approximately \$447,000 from approximately \$2,127,000 at October 31, 2011 to approximately \$1,681,000 at January 31, 2012, as a result of license fee revenue recognized during the three months ended January 31, 2012. Loan payable, which is due in December 2014, remained at \$5,000,000 at January 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011. Loan receivable, which is classified as a contra-equity in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet and is due in December 2014, remained at \$5,000,000 at January 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011. As a result of these changes, working capital at January 31, 2012 decreased by approximately \$461,000 to approximately \$554,000 from approximately \$1,015,000 at the end of fiscal year 2011.

Under the AUO License Agreements, AUO has agreed to pay CopyTele an aggregate license fee of up to \$10 million, of which \$3 million was paid by AUO in June 2011 and an additional \$7 million is payable upon completion of certain conditions for the respective technologies, in each case subject to a 20% foreign withholding tax. Accordingly, in June 2011 we received a payment from AUO, net of the withholding tax, of \$2.4 million. In addition, the AUO License Agreements also provide for the basis for royalty payments by AUO to CopyTele.

Under the terms of the Videocon License Agreement, we were scheduled to receive a license fee of \$11 million from Videocon, payable in installments over a 27 month period and an agreed upon royalty from Videocon based on display sales by Videocon (which royalty will decrease when a specified sales level and time period are reached and may increase under other certain circumstances as a result of significant improvements in the Videocon Licensed Technology). The initial installment commenced in May 2008 however certain license fee payments have been subsequently deferred in light of our joint decision to jointly develop improved versions of our Nano Display technology and the additional time and effort required by Videocon and us to incorporate the developmental improvements related thereto which are aimed at reducing the power consumption, improving the reliability and lowering the fabrication cost. However, the aggregate amount of the payments did not change and Videocon's obligation to make such payments continues to be subject to CopyTele's limited performance requirements and is not dependent on any specific performance standards which must be met by completion or delivery of prototypes of CopyTele's products in the development stage. No such license fee payments were received from Videocon during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011. As of January 31, 2012, we have received aggregate license fee payments from Videocon of \$3.2 million. We are presently in discussions with Videocon for us to receive the remaining or further license payments from Videocon, however, we cannot presently estimate specific future payment dates for the license fee payments.

Total employee compensation expense for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011 was approximately \$713,000 and \$968,000, respectively. During the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, a significant portion of employee compensation consisted of the issuance of stock and stock options to employees in lieu of cash compensation. During the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, we issued 3,083,620 shares and 2,375,435 shares, respectively, of common stock to certain employees for services rendered. We recorded stock-based compensation expense for the

Table of Contents

three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, of approximately \$406,000 and \$476,000, respectively, for shares of common stock issued to employees. In addition, during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, we granted to employees stock options to purchase 950,000 shares and 2,005,000 shares, respectively. We recorded stock-based compensation expense, related to stock options granted to employees, of approximately \$81,000 and \$259,000, respectively, during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011. Subsequent to January 2012, certain employees formerly receiving compensation in stock were compensated in cash. Management will continue to evaluate its compensation policies and may, in future periods, continue to compensate employees by issuing stock or stock options.

In addition, during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, we issued 290,470 shares and 78,750 shares, respectively, of common stock to non-employee consultants for services rendered. We recorded consulting expense for the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011 of approximately \$37,000 and \$17,000, respectively, for shares of common stock issued to non-employee consultants. In addition, during the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, we granted to consultants options to purchase 0 shares and 10,000 shares, respectively. We recorded consulting expense related to the stock options granted to non-employee consultants of approximately \$0 and \$2,000, respectively, for the three-months ended January 31, 2012 and 2011. Management will continue to evaluate its compensation policies and may, in future periods, continue to compensate consultants by issuing stock or stock options to the extent that our consultants do not require cash payments.

During the three-month periods ended January 31, 2012 and 2011, stock options to purchase 950,000 shares and 1,995,000 shares, respectively, of common stock were exercised with aggregate proceeds of approximately \$147,000 and \$478,000, respectively.

In February 2011, we received proceeds of \$1,250,000 pursuant to the sale of 7,000,000 unregistered shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 7,000,000 shares of our unregistered common stock in a private placement. Additionally, in February 2011, we sold an additional 3,300,000 shares of DISC common stock that were being held for investment for approximately \$100,000.

We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents, and investments in U.S. government securities and certificates of deposit, together with cash flows from expected sales of our encryption products and revenue relating to our display technologies, and other potential sources of cash flows, will be sufficient to enable us to continue our marketing, production, and research and development activities for at least 12 months from the end of this reporting period. However, our projections of future cash needs and cash flows may differ from actual results. If current cash on hand and cash that may be generated from operations are insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, we may seek to sell our investment securities or other financial assets or our debt or additional equity securities or obtain loans from various financial institutions where possible. The sale of additional equity securities or convertible debt could result in dilution to our stockholders. Subsequent to January 2012, certain employees formerly receiving compensation in stock were compensated in cash. Management will continue to evaluate its compensation policies and may, in future periods, continue to compensate employees and consultants by issuing stock or stock options. We currently have no arrangements with respect to additional financing. We can give no assurance that we will generate sufficient revenues in the future (through sales, license fees and royalties, or otherwise) to satisfy our liquidity requirements

Table of Contents

or sustain future operations, that our production capabilities will be adequate, that other products will not be produced by other companies that will render our products obsolete, that employees and consultants will continue to accept stock as compensation, or that other sources of funding, such as sales of equity or debt, would be available, if needed, on favorable terms or at all. If we cannot obtain such funding if needed, we would need to curtail or cease some or all of our operations.

We are seeking to improve our liquidity through increased sales or license of products and technology. In an effort to generate sales, we have marketed our encryption products to U.S. and international distributors and directly to end-users. During the three months ended January 31, 2012, we have recognized revenue from sales of encryption products of approximately \$3,000 and revenue from display technology license fees of approximately \$447,000.

The following table presents our expected cash requirements for contractual obligations outstanding as of January 31, 2012:

<u>Contractual Obligations</u>	<u>Payments Due by Period</u>				<u>Total</u>
	<u>Less than 1 year</u>	<u>1-3 years</u>	<u>3-5 years</u>	<u>After 5 years</u>	
Consulting Agreement	\$156,000	\$ —	\$—	\$ —	\$ 156,000
Noncancelable Operating Leases	\$311,000	\$ 570,000	—	—	\$ 881,000
Secured Loan Obligation to Mars Overseas	—	\$5,000,000	—	—	\$5,000,000
Total Contractual Cash Obligations	<u>\$467,000</u>	<u>\$5,570,000</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$6,037,000</u>

EFFECT OF RECENTLY ISSUED PRONOUNCEMENTS

Refer to Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements – “Effect of Recent Pronouncements” for discussion regarding the impact of recently adopted accounting pronouncements on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical facts, but rather reflect our current expectations concerning future events and results. We generally use the words “believes,” “expects,” “intends,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “likely,” “will” and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements, including those concerning our expectations, involve risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond our control, which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These risks, uncertainties and factors include, but are not limited to, those factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011 under “Item 1A – Risk Factors.” You should read this discussion and analysis along with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011 and the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Report. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You are cautioned not to unduly rely on such forward-looking statements when evaluating the information presented in this Report.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

As of January 31, 2012, we had invested a portion of our cash on hand in short-term, fixed rate and highly liquid instruments that have historically been reinvested when they mature throughout the year. Although our existing short-term instruments are not considered at risk with respect to changes in interest rates or markets for these instruments, which investments consist of US government securities and FDIC guaranteed certificates of deposit, our rate of return on these securities could be affected at the time of reinvestment, if any.

At January 31, 2012, our investment in Videocon GDRs is recorded at fair value of approximately \$5,277,000 and has exposure to additional price risk. The fair value of the Videocon GDRs is based on the underlying price of Videocon’s equity shares which are traded on stock exchanges in India with prices quoted in rupees. Accordingly, the fair value of the Videocon GDRs is subject to price risk and foreign exchange risk. The potential loss in fair value resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in prices of Videocon equity shares quoted by Indian stock exchanges and in foreign currency exchange rates, as of January 31, 2012, amounts to approximately \$528,000.

At October 31, 2011 we determined that, due to the continual decline in market value, the uncertainty of its recoverability and the decline in trading volume, our investment in DISC which had a carrying value of approximately \$63,000, should be written down to \$-0-. Accordingly, we have no further market risk related to our investment in DISC.

[Table of Contents](#)

As a smaller reporting company, the Company is not required to provide the disclosures set forth in this Item.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management including our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and our Vice President – Finance and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based upon that evaluation, our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and our Vice President – Finance and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the first quarter of fiscal year 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

[Table of Contents](#)

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings. None

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds. None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities. None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures. Not Applicable.

Item 5. Other Information.

(a) None

(b) There have been no material changes to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to the Company's board of directors.

Item 6. Exhibits.

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, dated March 16, 2012.

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, dated March 16, 2012.

32.1 Statement of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 1350 of Title 18 of the United States Code, dated March 16, 2012.

32.2 Statement of Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 1350 of Title 18 of the United States Code, dated March 16, 2012.

101.ins Instance Document*

101.def XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document*

101.sch XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document *

101.cal XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document *

101.lab XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document *

101.pre XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document *

* Furnished, not filed herewith.

[Table of Contents](#)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COPYTELE, INC.

By: /s/ Denis A. Krusos

Denis A. Krusos
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

March 16, 2012

By: /s/ Henry P. Herms

Henry P. Herms
Vice President - Finance and
Chief Financial Officer (Principal
Financial and Accounting Officer)

March 16, 2012

Exhibit 31.1

CERTIFICATION

I, Denis A. Krusos, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of CopyTele, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

-
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Denis A. Krusos

Denis A. Krusos
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

March 16, 2012

Exhibit 31.2

CERTIFICATION

I, Henry P. Herms, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of CopyTele, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

-
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Henry P. Herms

Henry P. Herms
Vice President - Finance and
Chief Financial Officer

March 16, 2012

Exhibit 32.1

Statement of Chief Executive Officer
Pursuant to Section 1350 of Title 18 of the United States Code

Pursuant to Section 1350 of Title 18 of the United States Code, the undersigned, Denis A. Krusos, the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of CopyTele, Inc., hereby certifies that:

1. The Company's Form 10-Q Quarterly Report for the period ended January 31, 2012 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Denis A. Krusos

Denis A. Krusos
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

March 16, 2012

Exhibit 32.2

Statement of Chief Financial Officer
Pursuant to Section 1350 of Title 18 of the United States Code

Pursuant to Section 1350 of Title 18 of the United States Code, the undersigned, Henry P. Herms, the Vice President - Finance and Chief Financial Officer of CopyTele, Inc., hereby certifies that:

1. The Company's Form 10-Q Quarterly Report for the period ended January 31, 2012 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Henry P. Herms

Henry P. Herms
Vice President - Finance and
Chief Financial Officer

March 16, 2012